> UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS 3 -----x 4 LIFE IS GOOD, INC., Plaintiff Civil Action No. 04 11290 REK 7 vs. 9 LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC. and 10 LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM U.S.A., 11 INC. (formerly LG INFOCOMM U.S.A., 12 INC.), 13 Defendant DEPOSITION OF THOMAS KENNEY, a witness called 15 16 by and on behalf of the Defendants, taken pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 17 before Nicole E. Guilbert, a Notary Public in and for 18 19 the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at Finnegan, 20 Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP, 55 Cambridge Parkway, Cambridge, Massachusetts, on Thursday, 21 November 17, 2005, commencing at 9:21 a.m.

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

202-347-3700 Exhibit 10 to Lemper Declaration

20115 FieldRptr		
	1 A. I have not seen this before today, I don't	09:58:51
	2 believe, and I'm not aware of anyone at my firm seeing this	09:58:57
	3 before.	09:59:01
	4 Q. And did you review the your files to look for	09:59:01
	5 all correspondence related to the application that is the	09:59:06
	6 subject of Exhibit 43?	09:59:12
	7 A. Yes.	09:59:13
	8 Q. And did you locate any photographs related to or	09:59:14
	9 resembling the photograph that appears on the backside of	09:59:22
	10 page the last page of Exhibit 43?	09:59:26
	11 A. I didn't locate anything that looks like this.	09:59:28
	12 MR. SOMMERS: If I could have marked	09:59:31
	13 as the next exhibit, 44, a multipage	09:59:33
	14 document dated July 19, 1995.	09:59:48
	15 (Exhibit 44, 7/19/95 Office Action,	
	16 marked for identification.)	10:00:04
	17 Q. (By Mr. Sommers) Mr. Kenney, if I could have you	10:00:04
	18 identify that for me?	10:00:07
	19 A. This document is an office action sent by the U.S.	10:00:14
	20 Trademark Office with respect to a Life is Good	10:00:22
	21 application.	10:00:25
	22 Q. And do you see the number that's at the bottom of	10:00:27
	ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.  Nationwide Coverage	

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

410-684-2550

> 1 that right-hand page? 10:00:32 A. Yes. 10:00:33 And what number is that? Ο. 10:00:33 Α. 002441. 10:00:36 5 Do you know why that number appears there? 10:00:39 I believe that's a Bates stamp number provided 10:00:42 7 with respect to the production of documents to the 10:00:46 8 defendants in this case. 10:00:48 Do you know where this document and -- let me 10:00:52 10 strike that. Mr. Kenney, have you seen this document 10:00:56 11 before? 10:01:00 12 A. Yes. 10:01:00 If I could ask you to refer to the second page of 13 10:01:02 14 that document and, under the numeric paragraph that begins 10:01:10 15 with 3, ask you to read into the record the last sentence 10:01:19 16 of that paragraph. 10:01:22 The last sentence? 10:01:25 18 Please. 0. 10:01:26 19 "The proposed mark 'Life is Good' thus appears to 10:01:28 20 be a general comment on the merits of the simple life 10:01:32 21 without acting as a source identifier, " and then in 10:01:37 22 parentheses, "trademark for applicants goods." 10:01:42

#### ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

31

1	Q.	Do you understand let me strike that. Do you	10:01:46
2	have an u	understanding of the reason that the trademark	10:01:50
3	office ma	ade that comment?	10:01:54
4	A.	I'm not sure I understand the reason why they made	10:02:01
5	that		10:02:04
6	Q.	Do you	10:02:05
7	A.	comment.	10:02:06
8	Q.	understand the meaning of the language that the	10:02:06
9	trademar	k has included?	10:02:11
10	A.	I think I do.	10:02:13
11	Q.	And what would that be?	10:02:15
12	A.	My understanding is the trademark office initially	10:02:20
<b>1</b> 3	took the	position that the use of the word the words	10:02:24
14	"life is	good" on the applicant's goods or the goods	10:02:30
<b>1</b> 5	submitted	d was simply or was used in an ornamental	10:02:43
16	fashion,	meaning to make a statement rather than from the	10:02:48
17	trademar	k's trademark office's position to serve as a	10:02:56
18	source io	dentifier.	10:02:59
19	Q.	When you say, "make a statement," what do you	10:03:00
20	mean?		10:03:07
21	A.	I think the trademark office would or was	10:03:07
22	taking tl	ne position that the use of the phrase "life is	10:03:13

# ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

`	oas	se 1.04-cv-11290-wG1 Document 29-10 Filed 05/31/2000 Fag	e 5 01 54
20115 FieldRp	tr		
		1 A. Yes.	10:30:52
		2 Q. And what was Mr. Pierce's comments concerning	10:30:53
		3 those facts?	10:30:59
		4 A. My recollection was that his comments were that it	10:31:16
		5 was our position and the client's position that the use of	10:31:24
		6 the mark was not ornamental and that we, therefore, should	10:31:29
		7 submit a response to the trademark office making those	10:31:38
•		8 arguments.	10:31:44
		9 Q. If I could refer you to page 4 of Exhibit 45	10:31:44
		10 A. Yes.	10:31:49
		11 Q and the first paragraph, and if you could read	10:31:49
		12 the first line into the record for me.	10:31:52
		13 A. The first sentence?	10:31:54
		14 Q. Please.	10:31:55
		15 A. "In fact, previously the applicant manufactured	10:31:57
		16 the subject goods with a patch stating 'Jacobs Gallery'	10:32:00
		17 sewn into the outside back of the T-shirt."	10:32:07
		18 Q. Could you explain to me what that means.	10:32:12
		19 A. I understand it to mean that in at one time,	10:32:21
		20 the applicant manufactured goods that had a patch sewn on	10:32:29
,		21 it that said "Jacobs Gallery."	10:32:34
		22 Q. Would I be correct that the Jacobs Gallery would	10:32:38
,			

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

> 1 have been the name of the entity back then? 10:32:44 10:32:47 That's accurate, yes. A. Would I also be accurate in concluding that the 10:32:49 4 patch that appeared on the shirts was a patch which showed 10:32:57 5 where the product came from? 10:33:03 I don't know that. 10:33:10 I guess what I'm trying to find out is were there 10:33:13 8 any labels sewn into the garments that showed who the 10:33:23 9 manufacturer of the T-shirt was, 10:33:33 10:33:34 That, I don't know. 10 Α. Would I be correct in assuming that this language 10:33:42 12 that appears on Exhibit 45 indicates that at some time 10:33:48 13 there was a patch with the name "Jacobs Gallery" sewn into 10:33:55 14 the outside back of the T-shirt? 10:34:02 That's what that says, yes. That's what that 10:34:04 15 A. 10:34:09 16 sentence says, yes. Now, were there any other markings on the T-shirts 17 10:34:11 18 at that time other than the patch which is explained on 10:34:19 19 page 4 of Exhibit 45 and the various depictions that are 10:34:24 20 represented on the page bearing Bates Stamp Number 2432? 10:34:33 21 Α. I don't know the answer to that question. 10:34:43 22 Ο. Did you -- were you involved in any manner with 10:34:48

#### ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

20115 FieldRptr					85
	1		December 3, 2001 as Exhibit 52.	11:49:47	
	2		(Exhibit 52, 12/3/01 Office Action,		
	3		marked for identification.)	11:50:08	
	4	Q.	(By Mr. Sommers) Mr. Kenney, if you could	11:50:08	
	5 i	dentify	Exhibit 52 for me.	11:50:17	
	6	A.	Sure. It is a an office action sent by the	11:50:19	
	7 U.	.S. Tra	demark Office in connection with a Life is Good	11:50:29	
·	8 tı	rademar	ck application.	11:50:33	
	9	Q.	Are you the individual that this is addressed to?	11:50:34	
	10	A.	Yes.	11:50:37	
	11	Q.	If I could refer you to the first paragraph that	11:50:42	
	12 sa	ays, "F	Registration Refused: Likely to confusion"	11:50:45	
	13	A.	Yes.		
	14	Q.	do you see that?	11:50:49	
	15	A.	Yes.	11:50:52	
	16	Q.	Do you see that?	11:50:52	
	17	A.	I do.	11:50:53	
	18	Q.	Can you explain to me what the purpose of that	11:50:54	
	19 pa	aragrap	oh is.	11:50:57	
	20	A.	I believe the purpose is to indicate or to inform	11:51:02	
	21 tł	ne appl	icant that the trademark office has found or	11:51:08	
	22 10	ocated	a prior registration in this case, registration	11:51:13	
			ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.		

20115 FieldRptr		
	1 sorry.	11:53:03
	2 MR. SOMMERS: Exhibit 52 discussing	11:53:03
	3 the "Registration Refused" paragraphs.	11:53:05
	4 THE WITNESS: By citation, do you	11:53:09
	5 mean the registration that's cited?	11:53:11
	6 MR. SOMMERS: Perhaps I can ask it	
	7 this way.	11:53:13
	8 Q. (By Mr. Sommers) Did you have an understanding of	11:53:13
<b></b> -	9 what the basis of the paragraph that let me strike that.	11:53:17
	10 Did you have an understanding of the nature of the	11:53:24
	11 registration refusal that appears on the first page of	11:53:33
	12 Exhibit 52?	11:53:37
	13 A. Yes.	11:53:40
	14 Q. And what was that understanding?	11:53:40
	15 A. My understanding was that the trademark office was	11:53:42
	16 taking, at least, the initial position that the	11:53:46
	17 registration should be refused, I believe, at least, with	11:53:52
	18 respect to one of the classes that the application was	11:53:56
	19 classified in or the goods that were included in the	11:54:03
	20 application because of a registration at the time by Miller	11:54:08
	21 Brewing Company.	11:54:17
	22 Q. When you say classes, what do you mean?	11:54:17

## ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

1	Α.	I would assume that's accurate.	11:55:46					
2	Q.	Mr. Kenney, did you have an understanding of the	11:55:50					
3 pai	ticu	lar manner in which your client could respond to	11:56:01					
4 thi	4 this refusal?							
5	Α.	Yes.	11:56:11					
6	Q.	And would I be correct that the manner in which	11:56:13					
7 уоц	ır cl:	ient responded to the refusal was to amend the	11:56:19					
8 per	nding	application to delete the class to which the	11:56:26					
9 rei	fusal	pertained?	11:56:30					
10	A.	I believe that's accurate.	11:56:32					
11	Q.	To your knowledge, did your client, in fact,	11:56:40					
12 de]	lete 1	the class that pertained to beverages?	11:56:43					
13	A.	Yes.	11:56:51					
14	Q.	Do you have an understanding of whether this was	11:56:53					
15 suf	fici	ent to remove the refusal that the trademark office	11:56:56					
16 set	for	th in Exhibit 52?	11:57:02					
17	Α.	I believe it was.	11:57:05					
18	Q.	And what was your understanding to the reason why	11:57:06					
19 the	e refu	usal was removed?	11:57:10					
20	A.	It's my understanding that the refusal was removed	11:57:16					
21 bed	cause	the the goods that had been listed in the	11:57:23					
22 app	olicat	tion that the trademark office determined could	11:57:36					
H								

# ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

20115 FieldRp	otr		
		1 don't know if it's the company's that 1	.2:05:14
		2 that he did not wish the Life is Good 1	.2:05:17
		3 trademark to be associated with alcoholic 1	.2:05:22
		4 beverages. 1	2:05:26
		5 Q. (By Mr. Sommers) And that position 1	.2:05:39
		6 notwithstanding, am I correct that your client has never 1	2:05:43
		7 sought cancellation of Miller's registration for Life is 1	2:05:51
ı		8 Good for beer?	2:05:55
		9 A. I don't believe that my client ever began any 1	2:05:56
		10 proceedings to seek the cancellation of Miller's Life is 1	2:06:00
		11 Good registration.	2:06:04
		12 Q. Are you aware of any factual reason why? 1	L2:06:07
		13 A. No.	12:06:10
		14 Q. To your knowledge, Mr. Kenney, did the deletion of 1	12:06:31
		15 the class concerning beverages result in the successful 1	L2:06:39
		16 removal of the refusal to registration that's memorialized 1	L2:06:47
		17 on Exhibit 52?	12:06:58
		18 A. I believe it did.	L2:07:00
		19 Q. Mr. Kenney, aside from specific designated goods, 1	12:07:27
		20 does your client own a registration for just the phrase 1	L2:07:34
		21 "life is good"?	L2:07:39
		22 A. I'm not sure I understand the question. 1	12:07:46

## ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

Ouoi	۰
 0115 TieldRptr	

1	Q.	Well, does Life is Good own a trademark	12:07:50						
2 :	registra	ation for the phrase "life is good" itself without	12:07:55						
3 :	regard to any specific goods?								
4	Α.,	I believe all of the U.S. registration	12:08:08						
5	trademai	ck registrations that Life is Good, Inc. owns for	12:08:12						
6	"life is	good" are for particular classes listed in the	12:08:17						
7 :	registra	ation.	12:08:23						
8	Q.	And there's not a registration just for the words	12:08:23						
9	that doe	es not otherwise have some goods attached to it?	12:08:29						
10	A.	I believe that's accurate.	12:08:34						
11	Q.	Would you be the person that would be most	12:08:36						
12	12 knowledgeable about that?								
13	Α.	Probably.	12:08:42						
14	Q.	To your knowledge, does your client claim	12:08:57						
15	trademai	ck rights in the words "life is good" in gross?	12:09:06						
16	A.	Do we claim them in gross?	12:09:13						
17	Q.	Yes.	12:09:17						
18	A.	I don't believe so.	12:09:17						
19	Q.	Has your client, to your knowledge, ever claimed	12:09:18						
20	that it	owns proprietary rights to the ordinary meaning in	12:09:27						
21	the Engl	ish language of the words "life is good"?	12:09:35						
22	A.	I don't think so.	12:09:45						
E .									

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

410-684-2550

96

1	Q. So would I be correct that let me strike that.	12:10:07					
2	So would I be correct that your client does not claim any	12:10:14					
3	proprietary rights to the words "life is good" as used as	12:10:22					
4	part of ordinary English?	12:10:35					
5	A. I guess I'm not I guess I'm not understanding	12:10:39					
6	what "ordinary English" means.	12:10:43					
7	Q. Perhaps point well taken. Let me ask again.	12:10:45					
8	A. Thank you.	12:10:50					
9	Q. Am I correct that your client does not claim	12:10:51					
10	proprietary rights to the words "life is good" as those	12:10:57					
11	11 words are used in the English language in a nontrademark						
12	manner?	12:11:13					
13	A. I don't believe that my client does make that	12:11:14					
14	claim. I guess I should qualify that. To the extent that	12:11:28					
15	someone, a third party, uses it in a way they believe is in	12:11:43					
16	some common way or nontrademark way that my client may	12:11:51					
17	believe, regardless of how it's used, that it is likely to	12:11:56					
18	cause confusion, then I don't know if that's claiming	12:12:00					
19	proprietary, but I think my client would be concerned about	12:12:04					
20	that.	12:12:08					
21	Q. I'm not sure I understand. Could you explain for	12:12:09					
22	me.	12:12:11					

## ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

1 do know that that's been a source of notice of third-party 12:23:12 2 uses of "life is good." 12:23:18 How long has that been in place? 12:23:20 I don't know. 12:23:22 When is the first time you had knowledge that that 12:23:26 6 was a practice? 12:23:28 Probably been a few years, at least two years, I 12:23:34 8 think, and that's an estimate. I apologize. I don't 12:23:46 9 recall the specifics of the situation. 12:23:49 Prior to filing any applications for the Life is 12:24:00 11 Good mark on behalf of our client, have you ever conducted 12:24:05 12 a trademark search to see if that trademark was available 12:24:09 13 for registration? 12:24:12 14 I don't recall doing that. 12:24:17 15 Do you know if your client did that? 12:24:19 Again, John Jacobs mentioned in his deposition 12:24:24 16 17 that he believed he did that after the first application 12:24:27 18 was filed. Other than that testimony, I'm not aware of any 12:24:30 19 other searches. 12:24:35 20 Mr. Kenney, in connection with the enforcement 12:25:19 21 efforts on behalf of your client, have you engaged in any 12:25:26 22 marketing studies, research, or surveys to determine the 12:25:37

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

410-684-2550

20115 FieldRptr					103
	1 puk	olic 1	recognition of your client's trademark Life is Good?	12:25:49	
	2	A.	I have not.	12:25:54	
	3	Q.	Are you aware of any others doing so?	12:25:56	
	4	A.	In connection with enforcement, no.	12:26:01	
	5	Q.	In connection with any other activities?	12:26:04	
	6	A.	Not that I'm aware of other than in connection	12:26:10	
	7 wit	th th	is litigation.	12:26:13	
	8	Q.	And what specifically are you aware of?	12:26:14	
	9	A.	My understanding is that a firm has been engaged	12:26:18	
	10 or	will	be engaged to conduct a survey.	12:26:25	
	11	Q.	And what firm is that?	12:26:28	
	12	Α.	I don't remember the name of the firm.	12:26:31	
	13	Q.	And what is the purpose of engaging the firm?	12:26:35	
	14		MR. KIRBY: Well, I think you're now	12:26:38	
	<b>1</b> 5		going beyond the scope of the deposition	12:26:40	
	16		notice, Mark. I mean, now you're really	12:26:42	
	17		going into this litigation.	12:26:45	
	18		MR. SOMMERS: But it is an	12:26:48	
	19		enforcement matter and the witness is	12:26:53	
	20		volunteering.	12:26:56	
	21		THE WITNESS: Well, because I was	12:26:56	
	<b>2</b> 2		asked.	12:26:57	

## ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

202-347-3700

20115 FieldRptr			107
	1 Q. When was the presurvey done?	12:32:45	
	2 A. Two weeks sounds about right. That's my estimate.	12:32:50	
	3 MR. SOMMERS: If I could have marked	12:33:41	
	4 as Exhibit 53 a document bearing the date	12:33:46	
	5 January 31.	12:33:49	
	6 (Exhibit 53, Rolex Correspondence,		
	7 marked for identification.)	12:34:03	
	8 Q. (By Mr. Sommers) Mr. Kenney, could you describe	12:34:03	
	9 for me what Exhibit 53 is.	12:34:07	
	10 A. The first page is a letter from an attorney who's	12:34:10	
	11 identifying himself as a firm representing Rolex. The	12:34:17	
,	12 remainder of it appears to be a letter that I sent to	12:34:23	
	13 Rolex.	12:34:30	
<b>L</b>	14 Q. Has there been any correspondence since January 31	12:34:31	
	15 between yourself and this firm?	12:34:36	
	16 A. I believe so.	12:34:39	
	17 Q. And what's that?	12:34:41	
	18 A. I believe there was a letter indicating that Rolex	12:34:43	
	19 was stopping or shortly stopping the use of the	12:34:55	
	20 advertisement in magazines.	12:34:59	
	21 Q. To your knowledge, would that document have been	12:35:05	
	22 produced to us?	12:35:07	
	ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.		
	Nationwide Coverage 202 247 2700 800-336-6646 410-684-2550		

202-347-3700

800-336-6646

20115 FieldRptr			111
	1 any research to determine the degree of consumer	12:40:47	
	2 recognition of its trademark Life is Good?	12:40:52	
	3 A. Other than the survey that I previously testified	12:40:54	
	4 about, not that I'm aware of.	12:40:58	
•	5 Q. And that survey is being conducted as we speak?	12:41:01	
	6 A. Hopefully.	12:41:04	
	7 MR. SOMMERS: If I could have marked	12:41:25	
	8 as Exhibit 54 a document dated September	12:41:27	
	9 12.	12:41:45	
	10 MR. KIRBY: Off the record.	12:41:46	
	11 (Discussion off the record.)	12:42:01	
	12 (Exhibit 54, Avia Correspondence,		
	13 marked for identification.)		
	14 MR. SOMMERS: I have been corrected	12:42:02	
	15 by my very observant counsel in this matter	12:42:04	,
	16 and been advised that Exhibit 54 is dated	12:42:06	
	17 September 12, 2001, for which I thank you.	12:42:08	
	18 Q. (By Mr. Sommers) Mr. Kenney, if you could	12:42:13	
	19 identify Exhibit 54 for me.	12:42:15	
	20 A. Okay. The first three pages are consist of a	12:42:16	
	21 letter sent to Robert Pierce from attorneys for, I believe,	12:42:29	
	22 it's Avia Corporation. The next two pages are a letter	12:42:34	
	ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.  Nationwide Coverage		

Nationwide Coverage 202-347-3700 800-336-6646

112

1 that Mr. Pierce sent back to Mr. Painter, who was the	12:42:49
2 author of the first letter I don't know I may have them	12:42:54
3 backward and then the remaining pages are a three-page	12:42:58
4 letter dated September 5, 2001 that Mr. Pierce sent to	12:43:08
5 Mr. Painter.	12:43:14
6 Q. Mr. Kenney, what was the particular use that Avia	12:43:16
7 was making?	12:43:19
8 A. My understanding I have a hard time remembering	12:43:26
9 the specific one but my understanding was it was some	12:43:37
10 type of advertising or promotional activity that Avia was	12:43:41
11 undertaking in connection with a show, a consumer show or	12:43:47
12 some type of business show, in which it was using the	12:43:57
13 phrase "life is good" but I don't remember the specifics of	12:44:03
14 it.	12:44:07
Q. Would I be correct that well, let me strike	12:44:11
16 that. What is your understanding of the disposition of	12:44:22
17 this matter?	12:44:27
18 A. My understanding is that my best recollection	12:44:28
19 of it is that Avia agreed to stop using "life is good" in	12:44:35
20 connection with its promotional efforts. That's my memory.	12:44:40
Q. Are you aware of whether Avia acknowledged your	12:44:47
22 client had any validity in this claim or not?	12:44:52

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

20115 FieldRptr			114
	1 not?	12:46:20	
	2 A. I believe they do.	12:46:21	
	3 Q. Regardless of what they feel about the merits of	12:46:25	
	4 the plaintiff's claim or not?	12:46:28	
	5 A. I believe they take issues of cost and time and	12:46:29	
	6 expenditure of time into account certainly.	12:46:38	
	7 MR. SOMMERS: If I could have marked	12:46:41	
B .	8 as the next exhibit, 55, an August	12:46:43	
	9 bearing the date August 26, 2004 on the	12:46:49	
	10 front page.	12:46:53	
	11 (Exhibit 55, Natural Life		
	12 Collections, Inc. Correspondence, marked		
	13 for identification.)	12:47:14	
	14 Q. (By Mr. Sommers) Mr. Kenney, could you describe	12:47:15	
	15 for me what Exhibit 55 is.	12:47:17	-
	16 A. Yes. The first three pages are a letter to me	12:47:19	
	17 from an attorney for Natural Life Collections, Inc.,	12:47:28	
	18 appears to have an attachment to it. The next pages appear	12:47:33	
	19 to be a letter that I sent to Natural Life. I think	12:47:46	
	20 there's an attachment there. The next page is a letter	12:47:53	
	21 that Karen Oliver from Life is Good sent to Mr. Young, the	12:48:05	
	22 attorney for Natural Life.	12:48:09	
	A - P P Y		

## ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

20115	
FieldRptr	

1	The next page is an e-mail within Life is Good	12:48:13
2	about Natural Life. Then there's a page looks like a	12:48:19
3	page from a website, and there's another e-mail within Life	12:48:27
4	is Good regarding Natural Life and then a couple more	12:48:34
5	e-mails regarding Natural Life.	12:48:41
6	Q. And the whole group of documents that comprise	12:48:43
7	Exhibit 55 concern the use of "life is good" by Natural	12:48:48
8	Life Collections?	12:48:55
9	A. Yes.	12:48:55
10	Q. Mr. Kenney, to your knowledge, have any further	12:48:58
11	communications occurred between the parties since August	12:49:03
12	26, 2004?	12:49:07
13	A. Yes.	12:49:08
14	Q. And what's that?	12:49:08
<b>1</b> 5	A. Ms. Oliver's letter of October 19, 2005.	12:49:10
16	Q. Okay. And who's Ms. Oliver?	12:49:15
17	A. She is an attorney that works for Life is Good.	12:49:18
18	Q. Has there been any contact for with the	12:49:28
19	attorneys representing Natural Life with you, meaning Life	12:49:34
20	is Good or its representatives, since August 26, 2004?	12:49:41
21	A. You mean other than Ms. Oliver's letter?	12:49:45
22	Q. I'm referring to whether Natural Life has had any	12:49:48

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

410-684-2550

20115 FieldRptr					121
	1 w	hat has	s happened with respect to this issue since then.	12:56:05	
	2	Q.	Do you know the reason why nearly fourteen months	12:56:08	
	3 p	assed 1	before Ms. Oliver contacted this company?	12:56:17	
	4	A.	I don't.	12:56:19	٠.
	- 5	Q.	Do you see on page 2 of that document that Natural	12:56:30	
	6 L	ife cla	aims that it commenced use of the "life is good"	12:56:35	
	7 p	hrase :	in 1995?	12:56:40	
	8	Α.	I do see that.	12:56:42	
	9	Q.	Would that fact have any bearing on your position	12:56:44	
	10 i	n conne	ection with this matter?	12:56:48	
	11	A.	I believe so because I believe Ms. Oliver has	12:56:52	
	12 r	equest	ed information about that in her letter.	12:56:57	
	13	Q.	And why would that be relevant?	12:56:58	
	14	Α.	In my mind, the extent of use by Natural Life	12:57:05	
	15 0	ould b	e relevant to a determination of whether or not	12:57:14	
	16 t	heir u	se is an infringement.	12:57:20	
	17	Q.	How is it relevant?	12:57:24	
	18	A.	Well, they're claiming that they used it first;	12:57:27	
	19 t	hat the	ey're the senior user of the mug or the of the	12:57:32	
	20 p	hrase.		12:57:37	
	21	Q.	And what would that mean?	12:57:37	
	22	A.	Well, if they're the senior user, then they would	12:57:39	
			ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC. Nationwide Coverage		

800-336-6646

410-684-2550

202-347-3700

20115 FieldRptr			124
	1 infringing.	13:01:29	
	2 Q. So if they have a priority of use, is it your	13:01:29	
	3 client's contention that it would not infringe its rights?	13:01:36	
	4 A. No.	13:01:41	
	5 MR. SOMMERS: Could I have marked as	13:01:59	
	6 the next exhibit a letter dated the	13:02:00	
	7 first page, a letter, being dated December	13:02:05	
ı	8 13, 2002.	13:02:09	
	9 (Exhibit 56, Hallmark Correspondence,		
	10 marked for identification.)		
	11 Q. (By Mr. Sommers) Before I turn to that,	13:02:25	
	12 Mr. Kenney, am I correct that Natural Life has refused to	13:02:28	
	13 cease its use of "life is good"?	13:02:34	
	14 A. At this point, that's my understanding.	13:02:36	
	15 Q. Do you know are they still using this in the	13:02:40	
	16 marketplace?	13:02:45	
	17 A. As far as I know, yes.	13:02:45	
	18 Q. If I could have you tell me what Exhibit 56 is.	13:02:48	
	19 A. The first page is a letter from an attorney for	13:02:54	
	20 Hallmark Cards to me. The second page is a two-page letter	13:02:59	
	21 that I sent to Hallmark Cards with an attachment	13:03:07	
	22 actually, a couple of attachments. I don't know if they're	13:03:14	
l	A OF ESDED AT DEPOPTED LINE		

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

20115 FieldRptr				125
	1 necessar	rily attached to the card but I mean attached to the	13:03:20	
	2 letter t	that I sent, but there are attachments which appear	13:03:24	
	3 to be gr	reeting cards.	13:03:27	
	4 Q.	Mr. Kenney, has there been any further	13:03:36	
	5 correspo	ondence between you or your client and Hallmark	13:03:40	
	6 since De	ecember 13, 2002?	13:03:45	
	7 A.	Not that I'm aware of.	13:03:50	
	8 Q.	And what is the reason that you or your client	13:03:52	
	9 have not	followed up with this matter?	13:03:54	
	10	MR. KIRBY: Let me caution the	13:03:56	
	11	witness that to the extent the answer to	13:03:58	
	12	the question would divulge the substance of	13:04:00	
	13	attorney-client communications, you should	13:04:04	
	14	not provide such testimony.	13:04:06	
	15	THE WITNESS: I don't think I can	13:04:11	
	16	comment on why my client necessarily hasn't	13:04:13	
	17	followed up, but the reason that nothing	13:04:17	
	18	further has come from my office has been	13:04:19	
	19	the result of discussions that my office	13:04:23	
	20	has had with my client.	13:04:27	
	21 Q.	(By Mr. Sommers) Is it fair to conclude that you	13:04:32	
	22 and your	client are not following up with this matter any	13:04:38	
		ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.		

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

202-347-3700

20115	127
FieldRptr	

	1 Q. Is it also accurate to summarize that Hallmark	13:06:36
	2 believed that your client's claim was ill-founded and would	13:06:43
	3 not continue sorry. Let me strike that. Is it also	13:06:48
	4 fair to summarize that Hallmark disagreed with your	13:06:53
	5 client's claims of infringement and refused to stop?	13:06:56
	6 A. That's certainly the position that they expressed	13:07:01
	7 in the letter, yes.	13:07:04
	8 Q. Could you describe for me the context of use of	13:07:17
	9 "life is good" by Hallmark as depicted on Exhibit 56.	13:07:23
	10 A. Appear to be a couple things that are, I guess,	13:07:28
	11 greeting cards. The first one is it's a greeting card that	13:07:32
	12 looks like a chocolate chip cookie or some type of cookie	13:07:39
	13 and on the inside it says, "Life is good. Happy Birthday."	13:07:43
	14 The second one is an another greeting card. I think "life"	13:07:46
-	15 didn't get printed out, but it does say, "Life is good," on	13:07:52
	16 the outside; and then when you open it up, it says, "Happy	13:07:56
	17 Graduation."	13:08:00
	18 Q. Did you have an understanding at the time that you	13:08:06
	19 wrote your December 2, 2002 letter to Hallmark as to	13:08:11
	20 whether Hallmark was using the words "life is good" as	13:08:19
	21 ordinary English words or as a trademark?	13:08:25
	22 A. Idon't recall what whether or not I had an	13:08:29

	Ca	se 1	1:04-cv-1	1290-WGY	Document 29-16	Filed 03/31/200	6 Page 24 of 34	
20115 FieldF	ptr						:	129
		1	<del>_</del> Q.	Mr. Kenney,	I see that on t	the first page of	13:09:54	
		2	Exhibit	56 that it s	ays, the marks -	strike that.	13:09:59	
		3	Mr. Kenr	ney, I see on	the first page	of Exhibit 56 that	13:10:02	
		4	Hallmark	informs you	that it used th	ne "life is good" ph	nrase 13:10:08	
		5	on its o	reeting card	ls since 1989. I	Oo you see that?	13:10:12	
		6	A.	I do.			13:10:16	
		7	Q.	Did that fa	ctor did that	fact factor in to	13:10:16	
		8	whether	or not your	client took no f	further steps upon	13:10:20	
		9	receivir	ng the Decemb	er 13, 2000 [sid	c] letter from Hallm	mark? 13:10:29	
		10	A.	I don't thi	nk I can answer	that without divulg	ging 13:10:33	
		11	my commu	nications wi	th my client.		13:10:36	
		12	Q.	Would it ha	we been a fact 1	relevant to your	13:10:38	
		13	consider	ration?			13:10:41	
		14	A.	I think so.			13:10:42	
		15	Q.	Was it a fa	ct relevant to y	your consideration?	13:10:43	
		16	Α.	My memory i	s that it was.		13:10:46	
	-	17		MR. K	IRBY: How we do	oing for time,	13:10:56	
		18		Mark?			13:11:00	
		19		MR. S	OMMERS: Probabl	ly make it if we	13:11:01	
		20		push, but I	'd like to we	e can go off the	13:11:02	
		21		record.			13:11:05	
		22		THE V	TDEOGRAPHER: Th	ne time is 1:10.	13:11:05	
		H				_		

20115 FieldRptr			130
	1 We are off the record.	13:11:07	
	2 (Discussion off the record.)	13:17:41	
	3 (A brief recess was taken.)	13:17:47	
	4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 1:17	13:18:01	
	5 p.m. This is the beginning of Cassette	13:18:03	
	6 Number 3. We are on the record.	13:18:06	
	7 MR. SOMMERS: If I could have marked	13:18:24	
1	8 as the next exhibit, 57, a multipage	13:18:25	
	9 document, the first page being a letter	13:18:31	
	10 dated April 1, 2004.	13:18:34	
	11 (Exhibit 57, Benton Silkscreening	•	
	12 Correspondence, marked for identification.)	13:18:57	
	13 Q. (By Mr. Sommers) Mr. Kenney, could you identify	13:18:58	
	14 Exhibit 57 for me.	13:19:03	
	15 A. Yes. The first two pages consist of a letter from	13:19:04	
	16 Sam Reed to a company called Benton screen	13:19:11	
	17 Silkscreening. Sorry. There's an attachment, something	13:19:20	
	18 from the American Red Cross. I guess it's from their	13:19:23	
	19 website. And there's a second page from the website with	13:19:29	
	20 some handwritten contact information. It looks like a, I	13:19:32	
	21 guess, a fax confirmation sheet.	13:19:37	
	22 Q. Mr. Kenney, do you know the disposition of this	13:19:40	
	ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.		

## ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

Ca	se 1:04	l-cv-1	1290-WGY	Document 2	29-16	Filed 03/31/2006	Page 26 of 3	4
20115 FieldRptr								131
İ	1 ma	itter?					13:19:43	
	2	A.	I believe	that Ms. Oli	ver, Kar	en Oliver, has	13:19:43	
	3 sp	oken 1	to Benton s	creen Silk	screenir	ng recently about	13:19:58	
	4 th	nis is	sue and has	been told that	at they	no longer print	13:20:04	
	5 th	nese si	hirts for t	he American R	ed Cross	s, although it	13:20:13	
	6 ap	pears	that the A	merican Red C	ross sti	.ll does distribut	e 13:20:19	
	7 at	leas	t some of th	hese shirts.			13:20:27	
	8	Q.	Is there a	any other con	responde	ence exchanged	13:20:31	
	9 be	tween	the parties	s regarding tl	his?		13:20:34	
	10	A.	I'm not a	ware of any of	ther cor	respondence.	13:20:36	
	11	Q.	If I could	d have you tu	m to th	ne third page of	13:20:39	r -
	12 Ex	hibit	57				13:20:43	
	13	A.	Yes.				13:20:44	
	14	Q.	and car	n you describ	e for me	the context in	13:20:44	
	15 wh	ich tl	he words "l:	ife is good" :	is being	made.	13:20:49	1
	16	A.	I think th	nis is a desig	gn that'	s on a T-shirt fo	or 13:20:54	
	17 th	e Amei	rican Red C	ross and "life	e is goo	od" is included in	13:21:00	)
	18 th	e T-sl	hirt design				13:21:08	
¥	19	Q.	Is it you	r client's una	derstand	ling that "life is	: 13:21:12	
	20 go	od" is	s being used	d as a brand n	name in	this context?	13:21:16	i
	21	A.	I don't kr	now what my c	lient's	understanding is.	13:21:20	)
	22	Q.	Well, do y	you have an ur	nderstan	nding?	13:21:26	

20115 FieldRptr				133
	1 earlie	today, as words having a meaning other than as the	13:23:19	
	2 tradema	13:23:27		
	3 A.	Yes.	13:23:29	
	4 Q.	And would that be the meaning that you attribute	13:23:29	
·	5 to thes	se words?	13:23:32	
	6 A.	I don't know. I haven't formed an opinion as to	13:23:35	
	7 what th	ne meaning is in this context.	13:23:44	
	8 Q.	But we do know that it's not in trademark use?	13:23:46	
	9 A.	I don't believe it is.	13:23:50	
	10 Q.	You believe this is a trademark use?	13:23:51	
	11	MR. KIRBY: He said, he doesn't	13:23:54	
	12	believe	13:23:56	
	13	THE WITNESS: I said I don't believe	13:23:56	
	14	so. I'm sorry, Mark.	13:23:58	
	15	MR. SOMMERS: Thank you.	13:24:02	
	16 Q.	(By Mr. Sommers) Do you know why your client	13:24:03	
	17 challer	nged that use?	13:24:08	
<b>9</b>	18 A.	I don't.	13:24:08	
	19	MR. SOMMERS: If I could have the	13:24:13	
	20	next document marked as Exhibit 58, a	13:24:19	
	21	letter dated December 30, 2004.	13:24:24	
	22	(Exhibit 58, Wisconsin Department of		
ł		ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS INC.		

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

20115 FieldRptr					134
	1		Tourism Correspondence, marked for		
	2		identification.)	13:24:48	
	3	Q.	(By Mr. Sommers) Mr. Kenney, if you could	13:24:48	
	4 d	escribe	for me what Exhibit 58 is.	13:24:51	
	5	A.	Exhibit 58 is a two-page letter from Roger Stein,	13:24:55	
	6 w	ho's an	attorney who provided services for Life is Good,	13:25:00	
	7 I	nc., to	the Wisconsin Department of Tourism.	13:25:05	
	8	Q.	And what is the subject matter of the exhibit?	13:25:08	
	9	A.	The Wisconsin Department as I understand it,	13:25:13	
	10 tl	he Wisco	onsin Department of Tourism was going to be	13:25:17	
	11 s	tarting	a tourism marketing campaign and was considering	13:25:20	
	12 u	sing the	e designation "life is good" in connection with	13:25:29	-
	13 t	hat camp	paign.	13:25:32	
	14	Q.	Do you have an understanding what else happened?	13:25:33	
	15	A.	I believe that there was a meeting between	13:25:38	
	16 r	epresent	tatives of Life is Good and representatives of the	13:25:43	
	17 D	epartmen	nt of Tourism of Wisconsin to discuss the issue. I	13:25:45	
	18 b	elieve t	there was some other letters exchanged.	13:25:51	
	19	Q.	In addition to Exhibit 58?	13:25:54	
	20	Α.	Yes.	13:25:56	
	21	Q.	Do you know what the disposition of this matter	13:25:56	
	22 wa	as?		13:25:59	

## ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

20115 FieldF	Rptr					135		
		1	A.	The Wisconsin Department of Tourism decided to	13:26:00			
		2 adopt a different designation. 13:26:03						
		3	Q.	What's that designation?	13:26:05			
		4	A.	I believe it's either going to be or is "life's so	13:26:07			
		5 goo	xd."		13:26:14			
		6	Q.	And has that been resolved to the satisfaction of	13:26:16			
		7 you	ır cli	ent?	13:26:20			
		8	A.	I don't know the answer to that.	13:26:21			
		9	Q.	Who would I have to get the answer from?	13:26:26			
		10	A.	I would assume from Burt Jacobs or John Jacobs.	13:26:29			
		11	Q.	Do you know whether your client maintains any	13:26:38			
		12 con	ntinui	ng objection?	13:26:42			
		13	A.	I'm not aware of one.	13:26:43			
		14	Q.	Do you know the context in which Wisconsin uses	13:26:45			
		15 the	word	s "life's so good"?	13:27:07			
		16	A.	I don't.	13:27:10			
		17	Q.	Who would be the individual who would know that?	13:27:12			
		18	A.	At Life is Good?	13:27:18			
		19	Q.	Yes.	13:27:20			
		20	A.	It would be someone who was involved in that	13:27:23			
		21 mee	eting,	I assume, with the Wisconsin Department of	13:27:29			
		22 Tou	rism,	which, if my memory is correct, was Burt Jacobs	13:27:33			
				A on Expense Depontance INC				

# ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

20115 FieldRptr			136
	1 and Roy Hefferman, but I'm not sure. That's my memory of	13:27:38	
	2 who attended that meeting.	13:27:45	
	3 Q. So, to your knowledge, no additional action has	13:27:50	
	4 been taken?	13:27:55	
	5 A. Once the "life's so good" designation was chosen,	13:27:59	
·	6 that's accurate.	13:28:06	
	7 Q. Mr. Kenney, are you aware of a company named Top	13:28:43	
	8 Dog?	13:28:47	
	9 A. Yes.	13:28:47	
	10 Q. What can you tell me about them?	13:28:48	
	11 A. It's a company that, as I understand it, produces	13:28:50	-
	12 some T-shirts.	13:28:52	
	13 Q. Anything else?	13:28:57	
	14 A. Any other products you mean?	13:29:00	
	15 Q. No. Is there anything else you can tell me about	13:29:02	
	16 them?	13:29:04	
	17 A. Yes. We've had a well, Life is Good has had a	13:29:04	
	18 dispute with Top Dog regarding a T-shirt that it was	13:29:08	
	19 selling.	13:29:11	
	20 Q. What was the particular product at issue?	13:29:12	
	21 A. It was a T-shirt, if I'm recalling the correct one	13:29:15	
	22 and I hope I am, that showed some type of dog I'm not	13:29:22	
	ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS INC.		

## ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

20115 FieldRptr					137
į	1 go	od wit	h dog pedigrees or types and the said on the	13:29:27	
	2 T-	shirt,	"When you're the top dog, life is good."	13:29:37	
	3	Q.	Did your client contest that use?	13:29:46	
	4	A.	Yes.	13:29:50	
·	5	Q.	And what was the grounds for contesting that use?	13:29:52	
	6	A.	I believe it was that use of "life is good" in	13:29:57	
	<b>7</b> co	nnectio	on with the T-shirt was likely to cause confusion	13:30:03	
	8 wi	th Life	e is Good's use.	13:30:07	
	9	Q.	Was there any other basis?	13:30:09	
	10	A.	I can't remember.	13:30:18	
	11	Q.	Mr. Kenney, in connection with your client's	13:30:33	
	12 en:	forcem	ent efforts of its mark, has it ever made a	13:30:46	
	13 det	termin	ation that a particular use was likely to blur the	13:31:05	
	14 di:	stinct:	iveness of its Life is Good trademark?	13:31:18	
	15	Α.	I can't recall if it has.	13:31:26	
	16	Q.	To your knowledge, has your client ever raised an	13:31:31	
	17 al	legatio	on that another party's use of the words "life is	13:31:39	
	18 go	od" res	sulted in a blurring of the distinctiveness of its	13:31:46	
	19 tra	ademarl	<b>c</b> ?	13:31:55	
	20	A.	It may have. I can't recall any specifics.	13:31:55	
	21	Q.	Do you have any recollection of making an	13:32:01	
	22 all	legatio	on of dilution by blurring?	13:32:08	

# ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

20115 FieldRptr					143	
	1		identification.)	13:43:17		
	2	Q.	(By Mr. Sommers) Mr. Kenney, am I correct that	13:43:17		
	3 you	r ear	lier testimony was that your client's Life is Good	13:43:44		
	4 tra	demar	k is among the most famous trademarks in the U.S.?	13:43:50		
	5	A.	I believe what I said is depending on how wide you	13:43:56		
	6 def	ined	"among the most famous," I think at some point it	13:44:00		
	7 pro	bably	fits in there.	13:44:04		
	8, .	Q.	How wide would that definition be?	13:44:06		
	9	A.	I really couldn't say.	13:44:09		
	10	Q.	If I could you explain let me strike that.	13:44:17		
	11 Can	you (	describe for me what Exhibit 59 is.	13:44:22		
	12	A.	Yes. It is an e-mail that was sent to Life is	13:44:26		
	13 G∞	13:44:35				
	14 ret	13:44:52				
	15	Q.	And who was it sent to?	13:44:53		
	16	A.	It was sent to someone at Life is Good and	13:44:55		
	17 for	warde	d as an attachment, and I don't remember who at	13:45:02		
	18 Lif	e is (	Good received it.	13:45:06		
	19	Q.	And who is Mary?	13:45:06		
	20	A.	Mary, I believe, is a retailer, an employee of one	13:45:09		
	21 of the retail stores that sells Life is Good.					
	22	Q.	Do you know when this document was prepared?	13:45:15		
			ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.			

### ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

144

ł			
1	A.	It was recently, I believe within the past year.	13:45:22
2	Q.	If I could refer you to the second paragraph	13:45:26
3	there,	it refers to a use of Ford of the words "life is	13:45:32
4	good."	Do you see that?	13:45:37
5	A.	Yes.	13:45:38
6	Q.	And it appears that it's on the Chicago News every	13:45:39
7	night.	Do you see that?	13:45:43
8	A.	That's what it says, yes.	13:45:45
9	Q.	What can you tell me about that particular use?	13:45:46
10	A.	Nothing beyond what's written here.	13:45:49
11	Q.	To your knowledge, has your client taken any	13:45:51
12	action	against Ford?	13:45:57
13	Α.	Not that I'm aware of.	13:45:58
14	Q.	To your knowledge, has your client looked into	13:46:00
15	this us	e?	13:46:03
16	A.	I'm not aware of what they've done to look into	13:46:03
17	it.		13:46:09
18	Q.	Do you know if they've undertaken any enforcement	13:46:09
19	efforts	?	13:46:13
20	A.	I don't know.	13:46:14
21	Q.	I'd refer you to the second part of that that	13:46:16
22	discuss	es Wal-Mart using the phrase to advertise their	13:46:19
U			

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

				145
1 ca	amping	stuff. Do you see that?	13:46:24	
2	A.	Yes.	13:46:25	
3	Q.	To your knowledge, has any enforcement actions	13:46:26	
4 be	een tal	ken by your clients against Wal-Mart?	13:46:29	
5	A.	I'm not aware of any.	13:46:32	
6	Q.	Are you aware of any particular contact that has	13:46:34	
7 be	een mad	de by your client with Wal-Mart?	13:46:38	
8	Α.	I'm not aware of any.	13:46:40	
9	Q.	Are you aware of whether your client took any	13:46:42	
10 st	teps to	o investigate that use?	13:46:45	
11	A.	I don't know the answer to that.	13:46:47	
12	Q.	Did you, on their behalf, undertake any	13:46:49	
13 ir	nvesti	gation?	13:46:52	
14	Α.	No.	13:46:53	
15	Q.	To your knowledge, did anybody undertake an	13:46:55	
16 ir	nvesti	gation on their behalf?	13:46:58	
17	A.	Not that I'm aware of.	13:47:00	
18	Q.	I see next the paragraph that talks about the	13:47:02	
19 W	iscons:	in Tourism "life's so good" advertisement. Do you	13:47:06	
20 se	ee tha	t?	13:47:11	
21	A.	Yes.	13:47:11	
22	Q.	Would that be the same advertisement that we	13:47:12	

# ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.